IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE, ANDHRA PRADESH
AT HYDERABAD

(Special Original Jurisdiction)

WEDNESDAY, THE FIFTEENTH DAY OF JUNE
TWO THOUSAND AND FIVE

PRESENT

THE HON'BLE MR JUSTICE N.V. RAMANA

WRIT PETITION NO: 16566 of 2002

Between:

Nova Educational Society's Nova College of Engineering &

Technology, Vegavaram, West Godavari District, rep.by its

President Smt.M. Nirmala, W/o:M.K.Rao, Age:33 years,

R/o: Vegavaram.

... PETITIONER

AND

1 Commissioner of Technical Education, Government of A.P., cum

Convenor EAMCET, 2002, Hyderabad.
2 State of Andhra Pradesh, Rep. by its Secretary, Higher Education, Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad.
3 State Council for Higher Education, Government of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.
4 All India Council for Technical Education, I.G. Sports Complex, I.P. Estate, New Delhi.

...RESPONDENTS

Petition under Article 226 of the constitution of India praying that in the circumstances stated in the Affidavit filed herein the High Court may be pleased to issue an appropriate Writ more in the nature of a Writ of Mandamus declaring the action of the respondents in refusing to allotthe candidates to a tune of 50% through counseling and not to permit the Management to fill-up 50% of the sanctioned seats (30+30 of enhanced seats) in Electronics & Instru. Engineering Branch for the Academic Year 2002-03 despite the 4th respondent has sanctioned those additional seats as being arbitrary and illegal and violative of articles 14 and 30(1) of the Constitution of India and issue a consequential direction to the respondents to

permit the petitioner to make admissions to 30 seats on its own and to sponsor 30 candidates through the Central Counciling by the 1st respondent in Electronics & Instru. Engineering Branch for the Academic Year 2002-03.

Counsel for the Petitioner: MRS.D.S.LAXMI

Counsel for the Respondents: MR.K.RATHANGA PANI REDDY (SC FOR JNTU)

The Court made the following:

ORDER:

When the matter is taken up for hearing, it is represented by the learned counsel for the petitioner that the subject matter in the writ petition has become infructuous.

Recording the same, the writ petition is dismissed as having become infructuous. No costs.

_____

N.V. RAMANA, J

June 15, 2005

MRR

ASSISTANT REGISTRAR

// TRUE COPY //

SECTION OFFICER

Copy to. –

1 The Commissioner of Technical Education, Government of A.P., cum

Convenor EAMCET, 2002, Hyderabad.

2 *The Secretary, State of Andhra Pradesh, Higher Education, Secretariat Buildings, Hyderabad.*
3 *State Council for Higher Education, Government of Andhra Pradesh, Hyderabad.*
4 *All India Council for Technical Education, I.G. Sports Complex, I.P. Estate, New Delhi.*

Two C.D. Copies.cc